

MACC 911

Addendum No. 2

Request for Proposals

Aerial Imagery Subscription Services

Date Issued: May 12, 2026

RFP Title: Aerial Imagery Subscription Services

Proposal Due Date: May 21, 2026, at 3:00 PM Pacific Time

This Addendum is issued to provide responses to additional vendor questions received regarding MACC 911's Request for Proposals for Aerial Imagery Subscription Services.

This Addendum shall become part of the RFP documents. Except as specifically clarified or modified by this Addendum, all other terms, conditions, requirements, deadlines, and provisions of the RFP remain unchanged.

Vendor Questions and MACC 911 Responses

Question 1:

Imagery Capture Timing: Is there any preference to the agencies as to when this imagery capture is conducted?

Response:

MACC 911's preference is for imagery that provides the clearest and most operationally useful view of the Area of Interest for public safety, GIS, addressing, and emergency response purposes.

Preferred capture conditions include:

- Leaf-off or reduced vegetation conditions where practical;
- Minimal snow cover;
- Minimal cloud cover, haze, smoke, or other visual obstruction;
- Good ground visibility for roads, structures, driveways, access points, and public safety-relevant features; and
- Capture timing that supports current and regularly refreshed imagery availability.

MACC 911 recognizes that actual capture timing may depend on vendor collection schedules, weather, aircraft/sensor availability, and existing imagery inventory. Vendors should clearly describe their available imagery, anticipated capture timing, refresh cycle, and any seasonal or operational limitations in their proposal.

Question 2:

Parcel Count: Could MACC 911 provide an estimated parcel count for the defined Area of Interest to support accurate scoping and pricing?

Response:

MACC 911 is not providing an official parcel count for purposes of this RFP.

Vendors should base pricing on the Area of Interest described in the RFP and may use publicly available county assessor, GIS, or parcel data sources as needed to support their scoping and pricing.

The primary Area of Interest is Grant County, Washington, with additional required coverage areas including the Town of Coulee Dam and Wanapum Indian Village. Optional coverage areas may include Adams County and/or statewide Washington coverage, as described in the RFP.

If a vendor's pricing model depends on parcel count, the vendor should identify the parcel count or assumptions used in its proposal.

Question 3:

Definition of Rural vs. Urban Areas: The RFP specifies differing resolution and refresh requirements for rural and urban areas. Could MACC 911 clarify how these areas are defined or provide boundary delineations? For example, are these classifications based on population, land use, jurisdictional boundaries, or another standard?

Response:

MACC 911 is not providing separate rural and urban boundary delineation files at this time.

For purposes of this RFP, vendors should interpret **urban areas** to generally include incorporated cities, towns, developed municipal areas, and other higher-density residential, commercial, industrial, or public safety response areas where higher-resolution imagery is operationally beneficial.

Rural areas should generally be interpreted as lower-density agricultural, open space, undeveloped, or sparsely developed areas outside of the above urban/developed areas.

MACC 911 is primarily seeking proposals that clearly explain the vendor's available imagery resolution, refresh cycle, and coverage model across the Area of Interest. Vendors should describe how their proposed solution distinguishes between urban and rural coverage, including any assumptions, data sources, jurisdictional boundaries, land-use classifications, or vendor-defined methods used to determine resolution and refresh levels.

If a vendor proposes a single consistent resolution or refresh standard across the full Area of Interest, the vendor should clearly state that approach in its proposal.

MACC 911 reserves the right to evaluate proposals based on the overall usefulness, currency, resolution, coverage, integration capability, and cost-effectiveness of the proposed imagery service.

End of Addendum No. 2